

FIRST YEAR EXAMINATION FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION/ EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

ECC 900 – ADVANCED EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH

APRIL, 2019

STREAM: Phd- EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY/EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION TIME: 3 HOURS

INSTRUCTIONS

Answer ALL questions in section A and TWO questions from section B.

SECTION A - Compulsory

QUESTION ONE

- a) Explain the difference between reliability and validity. How would you make sure the data collected is valid and reliable? (10 marks)
- b) Define random sampling, cluster sampling, stratified sampling, convenience sampling and purposive sampling (10 marks)

QUESTION TWO

A researcher was guided by the following objectives to undertake a study.

- To explore the relationship between the professional qualification of the instructional supervisor and students' academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.
- ii) To analyse the relationship between the experience of head teachers and students' academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.
- iii) To establish the relationship between the supervisory practices used by the head teachers and students academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.

Construct an appropriate data analysis matrix based on the above objectives (20 marks).

SECTION B – ANSWER ANY TWO QUESTIONS

Note that the analysed data outputs were generated using SPSS

QUESTION THREE

- a. Discuss FIVE reasons to justify why it is important for a researcher to plan for data analysis (5 marks)
- b. The questionnaire given below was used by secondary school head teachers in Nakuru county to gather data on the economic status of parents of their students.
 Prepare a code book for the questionnaire (10 marks)

Questionnaire

i.	Identification Number	
ii.	Gender Male () Female ()	
iii.	Number of dependants	
	Main source of income	
v.	Estimated monthly income in Kenya Shilling	
vi.	How frequent do you save? Never () Rarely () Occasionally	7()
	Often () Very Often ()	` ,

- c. i. A masters student you are supervising intends to estimate the reliability of her instrument. The instrument is constructed using close-ended items. The responses to the items have been scored as follows; Wrong Answer-0 and Right Answer-1. Which method of estimating reliability would you recommend to her?, justify your answer. (2 marks)
 - ii. Interpret and explain the results of the reliability test in table 1 (3 marks)

Table 1

Reliability Statistics			
		Value	1.000
	Part 1	N of	1 ^a
		Items	1
Cronbach's Alpha		Value	1.000
	Part 2	N of	1 ^b
		Items	1
	Total N	of Items	2
Correlation Between For	rms		.695
Spearman-Brown	Equal L	ength	.820
Coefficient	Unequa	l Length	.820
Guttman Split-Half Coef	fficient		.817
a. The items are: odd			
b. The items are: even			

QUESTION FOUR

- a). Explain why it is important to conduct normal distribution tests before analyzing data (4 marks).
- b). A student you are supervising has collected data on KCPE mean grades of primary schools in 4 counties. She wishes to summarise the mean grades by county using a chart. Which is the most appropriate chart that can be used to perform the task?, justify your answer (4 marks).

(2

d). Interpret and explain the results of the hypothesis test contained in tables 2a and 2b (10 marks)

Table 2a

Group Statistics								
Scale	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean			
Students motivation to learn	Male	44	4.0573	.43702	.06588			
physics	Female	36	4.0189	.47439	.07907			

Table 2b

Independen	t Samples Tes	t								
	Levene's Test for				Equality	of Means				
	Equality of									
	Variances				ı			T		
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig.	Mean	Std. Error	95% Con	ifidence
						(2-tailed)	Difference	Difference	Interval	of the
									Differ	ence
									Lower	Upper
ESMQ Pre-	Equal variances assumed	.516	.475	.376	78	.708	.03838	.10207	16482	.24158
test mean scores	Equal variances not assumed			.373	72.161	.710	.03838	.10292	16677	.24354

QUESTION 5

a). The head of the department has requested you to assist the student he is supervising interpret the results of her analyzed data. The results are in tables 3a, 3b and 3c. Interpret and explain the results of the test (12 marks)

Table 3a

Descrip	Descriptive											
Students	Students achievement in Kiswahili											
Group	N	Mean	Std.	Std.	95% Confiden	ce Interval for Mean	Minimum	Maximum				
			Deviation	Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound						
E1	40	22.58	2.305	.365	21.84	23.31	16	25				
E2	41	22.42	2.316	.362	21.69	23.15	17	26				

C1	40	20.35	1.923	.304	19.74	20.97	16	23
C2	39	20.25	2.895	.464	19.31	21.19	13	23
Total	160	21.41	2.603	.206	21.01	21.82	13	26

Table 3b

ANOVA									
Students achievement in Kiswahili									
Sum of Squares Df Mean Square F Sig.									
Between Groups	193.121	3	64.374	11.354	.000				
Within Groups	884.484	156	5.670						
Total	1077.605	159							

Table 3c

Multiple C	comparisons					
		lents achievement in	Kiswahili			
Scheffe						
(I) Group	(J) Group	Mean Difference	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence	e Interval
		(I-J)			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	E2	.153	.529	.994	-1.34	1.65
E1	C1	2.220^{*}	.532	.001	.72	3.72
	C2	2.323*	.536	.000	.81	3.84
	E1	153	.529	.994	-1.65	1.34
E2	C1	2.067*	.529	.002	.57	3.56
	C2	2.170*	.533	.001	.67	3.68
	E1	-2.220*	.532	.001	-3.72	72
C1	E2	-2.067*	.529	.002	-3.56	57
	C2	.103	.536	.998	-1.41	1.62
	E1	-2.323*	.536	.000	-3.84	81
C2	E2	-2.170*	.533	.001	-3.68	67
	C1	103	.536	.998	-1.62	1.41
*. The mean	difference is sign	gnificant at the 0.05 lev	el.			

b). The results of a hypothesis test conducted by a church minister are in tables 4a, 4b and 4c. Interpret and explain the results of the hypothesis test (8 marks)

Table 4a

Table 4a		
	Case Processing Summary	

		Cases						
	V	alid	Missing		Total			
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent		
Gender of the student * Believes in witchcraft	106	97.2%	3	2.8%	109	100.0%		

Table 4b

Gender of the student * Believes in withcraft Crosstabulation									
Count									
		Believes in	witchcraft	Total					
		yes	no						
	male	17	22	39					
Gender of the student	female	47	20	67					
Total		64	42	106					

Table 4C

Chi-Square Tests										
	Value	Df	Asymp. Sig.	Exact Sig. (2-	Exact Sig					
			(2-sided)	sided)	(1-sided)					
Pearson Chi-Square	7.269 ^a	1	0.007							
Continuity Correction ^b	6.201	1	0.013							
Likelihood Ratio	7.239	1	0.007							
Fisher's Exact Test				0.008	0.006					
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.200	1	0.007							
N of Valid Cases	106									
a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected co	ount less than	5. The minir	num expected cou	nt is 15.45.						
b. Computed only for a 2x2 table			-							

MACHAKOS UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT & CURRICULUM STUDIES

ECC 900 ADVANCED EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH

COURSE OUTLINES

Purpose: To provide the learner, with the knowledge and skills of identifying a study problem, proposal writing, analysis of data and report writing for a successful conduct and completion of research.

Expected Learning Outcomes

By the end of the unit, the learner should be able to:

- i. Identify different types of research
- ii. Explain different components of research.
- iii. Construct a researchable problem
- iv. Solve research problems based on difficulty values and indices analysis
- v. Conduct researches with ease

Course Content

Types of Researches: Quantitative and Qualitative Research. Observation, Action Research, Historical, Ethnographic, Survey Research and Case Studies. Experimental Research and Quasi Experimental Research Design. Solomon's Four Group Designs, Factorial Research Designs (Single Subject Designs and Multiple- Baseline Designs), and Counterbalancing. Components of a Research Proposal: The Structure and Content of a Concept Paper, Proposal, and Final Thesis. Identification of Research Problems, Development of a Research Problem, Formulation of Research Objectives, Questionnaires, and Hypotheses. Literature Review. Target Population, Sampling and Sampling Techniques and Sample Size. Instruments for Data Collection, Data Analysis. Reliability and Validity: Factors affecting Internal and External Validity of Research Designs. Difficulty Values, Indices Analysis. Reporting Research Findings: Summaries, Conclusions and Recommendations of Results. Writing a Concept Paper, Research Proposal, Thesis, and Journal Papers. Planning and Budgeting for Research: Logistical;

Ethical; Human Relations; and Legal Issues in research. Consent Forms, Letters, and Writing and Quoting of Appendices.

Teaching / Learning Methodologies

Seminar Presentations, Lectures and Tutorials; Group Discussion; Demonstration; Individual Assignments and Case studies. Critical and Scientific Reading Materials, Writing and Listening in the Field of Educational Research.

Instructional Materials and Equipment

LCD Projectors, CDROMS, Chalkboards/Whiteboards, Resource persons, and Relevant Periodicals.

Course Assessment

Examination 50%, Continuous Assessment 50%, TOTAL Marks 100%

Recommended Textbooks

Creswell, J. G. (2011). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research (4th Ed). New Delhi: Pearson Education, Inc. Education (4th Ed). New York: McGraw Hill.

Fraenkel, J. R. &Wallen, N. E. (2000). How to Design and Evaluate Research in Hinton P R (1996) Statistics Explained; A Guide for social science students, New York.

Kothari C R (2004) Research Methodology, New Delhi, New Age International (p) Ltd **Books and Journals and e-materials for Further Reading**:

Abott B (2005) Research Design and methods. Toronto, Mc Graw Hill

Best J W & Khan J V (2008) Research in Education 10th Ed. New Delhi, Prentice HallGalvan. J. L. (2006). Writing literature reviews: A guide for students of the social and behavioral sciences (3rd ed.). Glendale, CA: Pyrczak Publishing. (GJ)

Gash S (2000) Effective Literature Searching, Aldershot, Gower. **EBSCO Host Research Databases -** http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/search/selectdb?sid=3d940bab-6a78-4e36-aa5a-8cb9180dea2e%40sessionmgr103&vid=0&hid=128

Subject strengths: Theology, education, business, philosophy and ethics, library and information science.JSTORhttp://www.jstor.org/

Subject strengths: Economics, History, Political Science, Language & Literature, Art &

Art History, Music, Mathematics & Statistics, Education, Health Science, Biology, Architecture Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development - http://www.oecd.org/

Subject strengths: statistics, analysis, Economics, Public Policy, Social Sciences and Environmental issues.