

**University Examinations 2018/2019** 

#### SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL COMMUNICATION AND TECHNOLOGY FIRST YEAR FIRST SEMESTER EXAMINATION FOR DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION/ EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

ECC 901: ADVANCED EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS

DATE: 6/5/2019 TIME: 2:00 – 5:00 PM

### INSTRUCTIONS:

Answer ALL questions in section A and TWO questions from section B.

### **SECTION A – Compulsory**

#### **OUESTION ONE (20 MARKS)**

- a) Explain the difference between reliability and validity. How would you make sure the data collected is valid and reliable? (10 marks)
- b) Define random sampling, cluster sampling, stratified sampling, convenience sampling and purposive sampling (10 marks)

## **QUESTION TWO (15 MARKS)**

A researcher was guided by the following objectives to undertake a study.

- To explore the relationship between the professional qualification of the instructional supervisor and students' academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.
- b) To analyse the relationship between the experience of head teachers and students' academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.
- To establish the relationship between the supervisory practices used by the head teachers and students academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.
   Construct an appropriate data analysis matrix based on the above objectives.

### SECTION B – ANSWER ANY TWO QUESTIONS

Note that the analysed data outputs were generated using SPSS

# **QUESTION THREE (15 MARKS)**

- a) Discuss FIVE reasons to justify why it is important for a researcher to plan for data analysis (5 marks)
- b) The questionnaire given below was used by secondary school head teachers in Nakuru county to gather data on the economic status of parents of their students. Prepare a code book for the questionnaire (10 marks)

# **Questionnaire**

- i) Identification Number .....
- ii) Gender Male ( ) Female ( )
- iii) Number of dependants .....
- iv) Main source of income .....
- v) Estimated monthly income in Kenya Shilling .....
- vi) How frequent do you save? Never ( ) Rarely ( ) Occasionally ( ) Often ( ) Very Often ( )
- i) A masters student you are supervising intends to estimate the reliability of her instrument. The instrument is constructed using close-ended items. The responses to the items have been scored as follows; Wrong Answer-0 and Right Answer-1. Which method of estimating reliability would you recommend to her?, justify your answer. (2 marks)
  - ii) Interpret and explain the results of the reliability test in table 1 (3 marks)

Table 1

Reliability Statistics							
		Value	1.000				
	Part 1	N of	1 <sup>a</sup>				
		Items	1				
Cronbach's Alpha		Value	1.000				
	Part 2	N of	1 <sup>b</sup>				
		Items	1				
	Total N	2					
Correlation Between For	rms		.695				
Spearman-Brown	Equal L	ength	.820				
Coefficient	Unequa	l Length	.820				
Guttman Split-Half Coef	.817						
a. The items are: odd	·	·	·				
b. The items are: even							

# **QUESTION FOUR (15 MARKS)**

- a) Explain why it is important to conduct normal distribution tests before analyzing data (4 marks)
- b) A student you are supervising has collected data on KCPE mean grades of primary schools in 4 counties. She wishes to summarise the mean grades by county using a chart. Which is the most appropriate chart that can be used to perform the task?, justify your answer. (4 marks)
- c) Differentiate between a one sample t-test and an independent sample t-test (2 marks)
- d) Interpret and explain the results of the hypothesis test contained in tables 2a and 2b (10 marks)

Table 2a

Group Statistics								
Scale	Gender	Sender N Mea		Std.	Std. Error			
Scale				Deviation	Mean			
Students motivation to	Male	44	4.0573	.43702	.06588			
learn physics	Female	36	4.0189	.47439	.07907			

Table 2b

Independ	Independent Samples Test									
		Levene'	s Test	t-test for Equality of Means						
		for Equa	ality of							
		Varianc	es							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig.	Mean	Std.	95% Cor	nfidence
					(2-	Differen	Error	Interval	of the	
						tailed)	ce	Differen	Differ	rence
								ce	Lower	Upper
ESMQ Pre-test mean scores	Equal variances assumed	.516	.475	.376	78	.708	.03838	.10207	16482	.24158
	Equal variances not assumed			.373	72.1 61	.710	.03838	.10292	16677	.24354

# **QUESTION FIVE (15 MARKS)**

a) The head of the department has requested you to assist the student he is supervising interpret the results of her analyzed data. The results are in tables 3a, 3b and 3c.

Interpret and explain the results of the test (12 marks)

Table 3a

Descri	Descriptive									
Students achievement in Kiswahili										
Grou	N	Mean	Std.	Std.	95% Confidence Interval for Minimu Max					
p			Deviation	Erro	Mean	Mean m				
				r	Lower	Upper Bound				
					Bound					
E1	40	22.58	2.305	.365	21.84	23.31	16	25		
E2	41	22.42	2.316	.362	21.69	23.15	17	26		
C1	40	20.35	1.923	.304	19.74	20.97	16	23		
C2	39	20.25	2.895	.464	19.31	21.19	13	23		
Total	160	21.41	2.603	.206	21.01	21.82	13	26		

Table 3b

ANOVA									
Students achieve	Students achievement in Kiswahili								
Sum of Df Mean F Sig.									
	Squares		Square						
Between	102 121	2	64.274	11 254	000				
Groups	193.121	3	64.374	11.354	.000				
Within Groups	884.484	156	5.670						
Total	1077.605	159							

Table 3c

Multiple C	Comparisons					
Dependent	Variable: Stud	dents achievement in	Kiswahili			
Scheffe						
(I) Group	(J) Group	Mean Difference	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence	e Interval
		(I-J)			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	E2	.153	.529	.994	-1.34	1.65
E1	C1	$2.220^*$	.532	.001	.72	3.72
	C2	2.323*	.536	.000	.81	3.84
	E1	153	.529	.994	-1.65	1.34
E2	C1	$2.067^{*}$	.529	.002	.57	3.56
	C2	$2.170^{*}$	.533	.001	.67	3.68
	E1	-2.220*	.532	.001	-3.72	72
C1	E2	-2.067*	.529	.002	-3.56	57
	C2	.103	.536	.998	-1.41	1.62
	E1	-2.323*	.536	.000	-3.84	81
C2	E2	-2.170*	.533	.001	-3.68	67
	C1	103	.536	.998	-1.62	1.41
*. The mean	difference is si	gnificant at the 0.05 lev	el.			

- b). The results of a hypothesis test conducted by a church minister are in tables 4a, 4b and
- c Interpret and explain the results of the hypothesis test (8 marks)

Table 4a

Case Processing Summary								
	Cases							
	Va	Valid Missing Total						
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent		
Gender of the student								
* Believes in	106	97.2%	3	2.8%	109	100.0%		
witchcraft								

# Table 4b

Table 40							
Gender of the student * Believes in witchcraft Crosstabulation							
Count							
		Belie	Believes in				
	witch						
		yes	no				
Gender of the	male	17	22	39			
student	female	47	20	67			
Total		64	42	106			

# **Table 4C**

Chi-Square Tests								
	Value	Df	Asymp.	Exact Sig.	Exact			
			Sig. (2-	(2-sided)	Sig. (1-			
			sided)		sided)			
Pearson Chi-Square	7.269 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.007					
Continuity Correction <sup>b</sup>	6.201	1	0.013					
Likelihood Ratio	7.239	1	0.007					
Fisher's Exact Test				0.008	0.006			
Linear-by-Linear	7 200	1	0.007					
Association	7.200	1	0.007					
N of Valid Cases	106							

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.45.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table