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Answer ALL questions in section $A$ and TWO questions from section $B$.

## SECTION A - Compulsory

## QUESTION ONE (20 MARKS)

a) Explain the difference between reliability and validity. How would you make sure the data collected is valid and reliable?
b) Define random sampling, cluster sampling, stratified sampling, convenience sampling and purposive sampling
(10 marks)

## QUESTION TWO (15 MARKS)

A researcher was guided by the following objectives to undertake a study.
a) To explore the relationship between the professional qualification of the instructional supervisor and students' academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.
b) To analyse the relationship between the experience of head teachers and students' academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County.
c) To establish the relationship between the supervisory practices used by the head teachers and students academic performance in KCSE in Machakos County. Construct an appropriate data analysis matrix based on the above objectives.

## SECTION B - ANSWER ANY TWO QUESTIONS

Note that the analysed data outputs were generated using SPSS

## QUESTION THREE (15 MARKS)

a) Discuss FIVE reasons to justify why it is important for a researcher to plan for data analysis
b) The questionnaire given below was used by secondary school head teachers in Nakuru county to gather data on the economic status of parents of their students. Prepare a code book for the questionnaire

## Questionnaire

i) Identification Number $\qquad$
ii) Gender Male ( ) Female ( )
iii) Number of dependants $\qquad$
iv) Main source of income $\qquad$
v) Estimated monthly income in Kenya Shilling $\qquad$
vi) How frequent do you save? Never ( ) Rarely ( ) Occasionally ( ) Often ( ) Very Often ( )
c) i) A masters student you are supervising intends to estimate the reliability of her instrument. The instrument is constructed using close-ended items. The responses to the items have been scored as follows; Wrong Answer-0 and Right Answer-1. Which method of estimating reliability would you recommend to her?, justify your answer.
ii) Interpret and explain the results of the reliability test in table 1

Table 1

| Reliability Statistics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Part 1 | Value | 1.000 |
|  |  | N of Items | $1^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Part 2 | Value | 1.000 |
|  |  | N of Items | $1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Total N of Items |  | 2 |
| Correlation Between Forms |  |  | . 695 |
| Spearman-Brown Coefficient | Equal Length |  | . 820 |
|  | Unequal Length |  | . 820 |
| Guttman Split-Half Coefficient |  |  | . 817 |
| a. The items are: odd |  |  |  |
| b. The items are: even |  |  |  |

## QUESTION FOUR (15 MARKS)

a) Explain why it is important to conduct normal distribution tests before analyzing data (4 marks)
b) A student you are supervising has collected data on KCPE mean grades of primary schools in 4 counties. She wishes to summarise the mean grades by county using a chart. Which is the most appropriate chart that can be used to perform the task?, justify your answer.
(4 marks)
c) Differentiate between a one sample t-test and an independent sample t-test (2 marks)
d) Interpret and explain the results of the hypothesis test contained in tables $2 a$ and $2 b$
(10 marks)

## Table 2a

| Group Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale | Gender | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |  |
| Students motivation to <br> learn physics | Male | 44 | 4.0573 | .43702 | .06588 |  |
|  | Female | 36 | 4.0189 | .47439 | .07907 |  |

Table 2b
Independent Samples Test

|  |  | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2tailed) | Mean Differen ce | Std. <br> Error Differen ce | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  | Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  | Upper |
| ESMQ | Equal variances assumed |  | . 516 | . 475 | . 376 | 78 | . 708 | . 03838 | . 10207 | -. 16482 | . 24158 |
|  | Equal <br> variances <br> not <br> assumed |  |  | . 373 | $\begin{gathered} 72.1 \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | . 710 | . 03838 | . 10292 | -. 16677 | . 24354 |

## QUESTION FIVE (15 MARKS)

a) The head of the department has requested you to assist the student he is supervising interpret the results of her analyzed data. The results are in tables 3a, 3b and 3c.
Interpret and explain the results of the test
(12 marks)
Table 3a
Descriptive
Students achievement in Kiswahili

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grou } \\ & \text { p } \end{aligned}$ | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Erro <br> r | 95\% Confidence Interval for Mean |  | Minimu m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maximu } \\ & \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| E1 | 40 | 22.58 | 2.305 | . 365 | 21.84 | 23.31 | 16 | 25 |
| E2 | 41 | 22.42 | 2.316 | . 362 | 21.69 | 23.15 | 17 | 26 |
| C1 | 40 | 20.35 | 1.923 | . 304 | 19.74 | 20.97 | 16 | 23 |
| C2 | 39 | 20.25 | 2.895 | . 464 | 19.31 | 21.19 | 13 | 23 |
| Total | 160 | 21.41 | 2.603 | . 206 | 21.01 | 21.82 | 13 | 26 |

Table 3b

## ANOVA

Students achievement in Kiswahili

|  | Sum of <br> Squares | Df | Mean <br> Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Between <br> Groups | 193.121 | 3 | 64.374 | 11.354 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 884.484 | 156 | 5.670 |  |  |
| Total | 1077.605 | 159 |  |  |  |

Table 3c

## Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: Students achievement in Kiswahili
Scheffe

| (I) Group | (J) Group | Mean Difference(I-J) | Std. Error | Sig. | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| E1 | E2 | . 153 | . 529 | . 994 | -1.34 | 1.65 |
|  | C1 | $2.220^{*}$ | . 532 | . 001 | . 72 | 3.72 |
|  | C 2 | $2.323^{*}$ | . 536 | . 000 | . 81 | 3.84 |
| E2 | E1 | -. 153 | . 529 | . 994 | -1.65 | 1.34 |
|  | C1 | $2.067^{*}$ | . 529 | . 002 | . 57 | 3.56 |
|  | C2 | $2.170^{*}$ | . 533 | . 001 | . 67 | 3.68 |
| C1 | E1 | $-2.220^{*}$ | . 532 | . 001 | -3.72 | -. 72 |
|  | E2 | -2.067* | . 529 | . 002 | -3.56 | -. 57 |
|  | C 2 | . 103 | . 536 | . 998 | -1.41 | 1.62 |
| C2 | E1 | -2.323* | . 536 | . 000 | -3.84 | -. 81 |
|  | E2 | -2.170* | . 533 | . 001 | -3.68 | -. 67 |
|  | C1 | -. 103 | . 536 | . 998 | -1.62 | 1.41 |

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.
b). The results of a hypothesis test conducted by a church minister are in tables $4 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{~b}$ and
c Interpret and explain the results of the hypothesis test
Table 4a

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| Gender of the student * Believes in witchcraft | 106 | 97.2\% | 3 | 2.8\% | 109 | 100.0\% |

## Table 4b

| Gender of the student * Believes in witchcraft Crosstabulation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Believes in witchcraft |  | Total |
|  |  | yes | no |  |
| Gender of the student | male | 17 | 22 | 39 |
|  | female | 47 | 20 | 67 |
| Total |  | 64 | 42 | 106 |

Table 4C

| Chi-Square Tests |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Df | Asymp. <br> Sig. (2- <br> sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact <br> Sig. (1- <br> sided) |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $7.269^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 | 0.007 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 6.201 | 1 | 0.013 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 7.239 | 1 | 0.007 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | 0.008 | 0.006 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 7.200 | 1 | 0.007 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 106 |  |  |  |  |
| a. 0 cells ( $0.0 \%) ~ h a v e ~ e x p e c t e d ~ c o u n t ~ l e s s ~ t h a n ~ 5 . ~ T h e ~ m i n i m u m ~ e x p e c t e d ~ c o u n t ~ i s ~$ <br> $15.45 . ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |

